

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1459 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/18/2003

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 4289 P 3948 09/685,697 10/09/2000 Jeffrey J. Clawson 7590 07/18/2003 Lloyd W. Sadler or Daniel P. McCarthy EXAMINER Parsons Behle & Latimer MWANYOHA, SADIKI P 201 South Main Street Suite 1800 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Salt Lake City, UT 84111-2218 2642

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s) CLAWSON, JEFFREY J.	
		09/685,697	CLAWSON, JEFFRI		
A	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Sadiki Mwanyoha	2642		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence add	'ess	
THE I Exter after If the If NO Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply opened for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this com NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/9	<u>//2000</u>			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa			merits is	
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice under a on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
·	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner				
10) 🖾 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)⊠ accep				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.		
40\□	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	•		
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)L	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents				
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age	
14) 🗌 A	4) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language provections.	visional application has bee	en received.	· ··	
, — Attachment		priority diluter to c.c.o. 3	3 120 0110/01 121.		
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u> .	5) Notice of Inf	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ormal Patent Application (PTO-1		
Patent and Tr	adamark Office				

Application/Control Number: 09/685,697

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: A reference is made to "violent patent situation". This appears to be a typographical error. The examiner assumes "violent patient situation" was meant. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 4,237,344 to Moore. Moore discloses a "Rapid response health care communications system" which reads on each of the limitations set forth in Claim 1 as follows:
- a. The system of Moore is "capable of rapidly receiving a request for health care from a patient on the basis of voice, telephone, visual, or emergency signaling" [See Moore col. 2, line 28]. The Examiner finds that this capability reads on "receiving a medical call on a telephone communication device regarding a patient needing medical assistance."

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/685,697

Art Unit: 2642

b. A telephone call initiated by the patient has the purpose of indicating his or her needs to the health coordinator, who receives this indication (i.e. description) [See Moore col. 9, line 10].

- c. The health care provider (i.e. dispatcher) "upon receipt of the patient's signal [i.e. the description]...can accurately and precisely make an informed decision on the degree [i.e. criticality value] of medical response required" [See Moore col. 3, line 59].
- d. Moreover, the health care provider communicates [i.e. dispatches] his/her decision on the degree [i.e. criticality value] of medical response to the desired personnel [i.e. agents of the medical response] [See col. 3, line 66].
- e. After the medical staff has arrived at the patient location, they may "if necessary [i.e. based on said criticality value], effect further communication [i.e. post dispatch instructions] with the health care coordinator through the telephone...in the patient's [i.e. caller] room" [See Moore col. 10, line 15]. The examiner finds that further communication with the health care coordinator (i.e. dispatcher) in the patient's [i.e. caller] room reads on "post dispatch instruction to a caller."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore.

Application/Control Number: 09/685,697

Art Unit: 2642

4. Regarding claims 2, 3 and 7, the system disclosed by Moore "manages the exit process of an emergency medical dispatch system" as shown above.

However, Moore does not teach post dispatch instructions specifically regarding a "hemorrhaging patient", a "patient suffering amputation", or a "patient suffering from burns".

Nevertheless, it would have been obvious to anticipate the need for post dispatch instructions addressing these situations, since they comprise common medical conditions.

5. Regarding 4, 5, 6 and 8, the system disclosed by Moore "manages the exit process of an emergency medical dispatch system" as shown above.

However, Moore does not teach post dispatch instructions specifically regarding a "hazardous material situation", a "violent patient situation", the "presence of an assailant or dangerous animal", or "a present danger situation", all of which comprise environmental situations.

Nevertheless, it would have been obvious to anticipate the need for post dispatch instructions addressing these situations, since the health care provided by Moore's system "can be extended somewhat to environmental care" [See Moore col. 4, line 35] and these situations are common forms of environmental emergencies.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/685,697

Art Unit: 2642

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a. Nikolin US patent 5,787,429 discloses a "Potential hazard and risk-assessment data communication network."
- b. Webb US patent 5,902,234 discloses a "Medical communication system for ambulatory home-care patients."
- c. Stenhuis et al. US patent 4,338,493 discloses a "Method and devices for reporting emergency calls and for initiating emergency assistance measures."

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sadiki Mwanyoha whose telephone number is 703-305-3417. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar can be reached on 703-305-4731. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

\$\$ (

Page 6

spm

June 16, 2003

AHMAD F. MATAR
UPERVISORY PATERIAL TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2700